Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental									
LRB Number 09-1443/1	Introduction Number SB-066									
Description operation of a motor vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant and providing a penalty.										
Fiscal Effect										
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues to absorb within agency's budget Penues To absorb within agency's budget Penues Decrease Costs									
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Counties Others School WTCS Districts										
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS s. 20.395 (5) (cq)										
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date									
DOT/ Richard Kleist (608) 264-7029	Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 4/10/2009									

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 4/10/2009

LRB Number 09-1443/1	Introduction Number	SB-066	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
operation of a motor vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant and providing a penalty.								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

BILL SUMMARY

The proposal makes it mandatory for the court to order the installation of an IID for a person's third conviction, suspension, or revocation for OWI within any period; or if the person has a second conviction, suspension, or revocation within any period and had an alcohol concentration of 0.16 or greater at the time of the current offense.

This proposal makes a person committing their first OWI offense and has a blood alcohol concentration between 0.08 and 0.099 liable for the surcharges or fees and they must complete an alcohol or other drug assessment program before their driver license can be reinstated. The proposal also requires DOT to keep record of this offense permanently.

ASSUMPTIONS

This proposal would increase DMV workload for the data entry of IID restrictions for all second offense OWI-related convictions with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.16 or greater and all third and subsequent OWI related convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1). In 2007, there were just over 9,500 revocations for second offense OWI-related convictions. Assuming 60 % of those convictions resulted from a person operating with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.16 or more, 5,700 offenders would be subject to an IID restriction under this proposal. In 2007, there were approximately 5,700 revocations for third and subsequent OWI related convictions (OWI, OII, IC, NHI, GBH) each requiring an IID restriction under this proposal. This proposal would result in about an additional 7,200 IID restrictions.

Those persons convicted of operating a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration between 0.08 and 0.099 would be required to complete an alcohol assessment, as is currently required of people convicted of operating with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10 or greater. As this proposal would require more drivers to submit to alcohol assessments, it would also increase the number of revocations of operating privileges DMV must generate for failure to complete the alcohol assessment. Assuming 40% of the additional 950 persons requiring alcohol assessments did not complete those assessments (a percentage equal to first offense OWI convictions in 2007) and their operating privilege was subsequently revoked, an additional 380 revocations would be generated by DMV. Of these 380 revocations, approximately 50%, or 190 would be created manually. Additionally, an expected 50%, or 190 of the people revoked would regain compliance and subsequently reinstate their operating privilege.

Purge criteria for removal of convictions for first offense OWI with a blood alcohol concentration between 0.08 and 0.099 from the persons driving record kept on the DOT computer system must be changed as this proposal would now require these convictions to be stored on the record permanently.

CONCLUSION

In 2007, there were just over 9,500 revocations for second offense OWI-related convictions. Assuming 60 % of those convictions resulted from a person operating with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.16 or more, 5,700 offenders would be subject to an IID restriction under this proposal. In 2007, there were approximately 5,700 revocations for third and subsequent OWI related convictions (OWI, OII, IC, NHI, GBH) each requiring an IID restriction under this proposal. This proposal would result in about an additional 7,200 IID restrictions.

The fiscal impact of entering these restrictions into our database is .01 FTE (1 minute per transaction) or \$450.

In 2007, there were approximately 950 revocations for operating a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol

concentration between 0.08 and 0.099 who would under this proposal now be required to complete an alcohol assessment. Assuming 40% of these people did not complete the required assessment (a percentage equal to first offense OWI convictions in 2007) and their operating privilege was subsequently revoked, an additional 380 revocations would be generated by DMV. Of these 380 revocations, approximately 50%, or 190 would be created manually. Additionally, an expected 50%, or 190 of the people revoked would regain compliance and subsequently reinstate their operating privilege.

The fiscal impact of generating these revocations is .04 FTE or \$1800 and \$500 for supplies and services.

0.05 TCR Advanced = \$2,250 salary and fringe annually

The expected revenue generated by reinstatements of an expected 50% of these additional revocations is \$11,400.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

See above.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supp	emental	
LRE	LRB Number 09-1443/1 Introduction Number SB-066								66		
	ription tion of a motor v	vehicle while	under the in	nfluence	of ar	n intoxicant	and p	rovidinç	g a pena	ilty.	
	e-time Costs or alized fiscal eff		npacts for S	State a	nd/or	Local Gov	ernme	ent (do	not inc	lude in	
pendi	for updating driving flags to be seentrations of 0.08	et requiring a	alcohol asse	tems ch	nangir s to b	ng driver re e complete	cord p d for p	urge cri	teria an with blo	d to allow od alcohol	
II. Annualized Costs:				Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:							
					I	ncreased C	Costs		Decre	ased Costs	
A. St	ate Costs by Ca	ategory									
Sta	ite Operations -	Salaries and	l Fringes		\$2,250					\$	
(FT	E Position Char	nges)									
Sta	ite Operations -	Other Costs					500				
Loc	cal Assistance										
Aid	s to Individuals	or Organizat	ions								
	TOTAL State Co	osts by Cate	egory			\$2	,750				
B. St	ate Costs by So	ource of Fu	nds								
GP	R										
FE	D								***		
PR	O/PRS										
SE	G/SEG-S (s.20.	395 (5) (cq))				2	,750				
	ate Revenues - nues (e.g., tax i						ase or	decrea	ise stat	е	
						Increased	Rev		Dec	reased Rev	
GP	R Taxes						\$			\$	
GP	R Earned										
FE											
PR	O/PRS										
	G/SEG-S (s.)						,400		•	·	
	TOTAL State Re						,400			\$	
		N	ET ANNUA	LIZED	FISC/	AL IMPACT					
						9	State			Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS						,750	\$				
NET	CHANGE IN RE	VENUE				\$11	,400			\$	
Agency/Prepared By Au			Author	thorized Signature					Date		
DOT/ Richard Kleist (608) 264-7029 Juli				Julie Jo	lie Johnson (608) 267-3703					4/10/2009	